SIXTH CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION OF THE UNITED STATES VIRGIN ISLANDS

* * * * *

Held at:

Arthur Abel Complex Conference Room
56A Prince Street, Frederiksted, St. Croix
and via Zoom Online Platform

(1:40 p.m. - 3:05 p.m.)

* * * * *

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Tuesday, February 11, 2025

* * * * *


DELEGATES PRESENT:

Delegate Usie R. Richards, President
Delegate Stedmann Hodge, Jr., Vice-Chair
Delegate John J. Abramson, Jr., Treasurer
Delegate Imani Daniel, Secretary
Delegate Lilliana Belardo de O'Neal
Delegate John M. Canegata
Delegate Devin F. Carrington, Esq.
Delegate Rudel A. Hodge, Jr., Esq.
Delegate Rupert W. Ross, Jr.
Delegate Ronald E. Russell, Esq.
Delegate David Silverman*

DELEGATES ABSENT:

Delegate Lydia Hendricks Delegate Arturo Watlington, Jr., Esq. Delegate Alecia M. Wells

*=[Via Zoom On-Line Platform]



I N D E X

| <u>ITEM</u> : | | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------|---|--|
| 1. | MEETING RECONVENED | 5 |
| 2. | DISCUSSIONS ON STANDING COMMITTEES: | |
| | Delegate Russell. Delegate Belardo de O'Neal Delegate Silverman Delegate S. Hodge. Delegate Richardson Delegate Daniel. Delegate R. Hodge. Delegate Ross. Delegate Carrington Delegate Abramson. President Richards | 6 7 23 26 27 29 30 31 33 37 39 |
| 3. | MOTION TO ADJOURN | 64 |
| 4. | ADJOURNMENT | 69 |
| 5. | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER | 70 |

Thereupon, the meeting convened at 1:40 p.m.

1.3

2.4

PRESIDENT USIE R. RICHARDS: Can we rise out of recess and convene the Committee of the Whole for the discussion of the second item on the agenda?

And just as a -- for the purpose of information, since Delegate Silverman saw things being distributed, the only thing that was being shared to each of the delegates is the signed Oath of Office by the Chief Judge of the Superior Court. Those of you who are not present here on St. Croix, your Oath will be returned back to the Executive Director's Office on St. Thomas and you can be able to collect it there and sign "receipt" so you could have your original copy of your Oath of Office.

Having said that, this is the suggestion that I am sharing at this point, as stated before we took a break for lunch:

On the subject matter of Standing Committees, its number, its composition and issues as such, that we're going to take at least a 'lil half hour for everyone or anyone, or any delegate, pardon me, who have any suggested ideas for consideration with the expected hope that we can finalize the organization of the committees by our first Saturday in March meeting so we could

proceed with the business.
Is there anyone that

1.3

2.4

Is there anyone that wants to open up -- any delegate that would like to open up this discussion on the subject matter of committees?

No one?

DELEGATE RONALD E. RUSSELL: Yeah, I would say -PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Delegate Russell?

DISCUSSIONS ON STANDING COMMITTEES

DISCUSSIONS ON STANDING COMMITTEES

DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yeah, I would say that we should limit the amount of committees that we have, because we have 15 people. And three or four committees, I think, would cover all areas giving each delegate an opportunity to participate meaningfully.

Because I reviewed the former Fifth Constitution and I think they had too much committees. And that's, when comparing it to the Fifth, which is what we're supposed to do, I would say they had too many committees. And since we only have 15 members, we should limit the committees to make them meaningful, cover respective areas that we need and to give everybody full participation in the committees.

That's my comment, general comment about it. Thank you.

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Thank you.

| 1 | DELEGATE IMANI DANIEL: Point of Information? |
|----|--|
| 2 | Are you just doing 3-minute rounds for this discussion |
| 3 | or, just raise your hand? We have more than one time? |
| 4 | What's the structure here? |
| 5 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: I'm going to stick with the |
| 6 | three minutes |
| 7 | DELEGATE DANIEL: Okay, thank you. |
| 8 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: to make sure that every- |
| 9 | one have the opportunity. Are you going to keep some |
| 10 | notes on this subject matter, or we're going to just |
| 11 | rely on the receipt of the transcript, and then we could |
| 12 | send out that portion to everybody so everyone will have |
| 13 | fresh in their minds |
| 14 | DELEGATE DANIEL: That works. |
| 15 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: for the subject matter of |
| 16 | the so, Part 2, we will prefer that you work on Part |
| 17 | 2 first, then part in comparison to Part 1. |
| 18 | Senator Russell, you did have three minutes, but |
| 19 | you've completed |
| 20 | DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yeah, yeah |
| 21 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: your discussion? |
| 22 | DELEGATE RUSSELL: I done. |
| 23 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Okay. Anyone else have |
| 24 | ideas? |
| 25 | Are we finished with this topic then? [Laughter] |
| | |

1 I'm just asking. 2 DELEGATE LILLIANA BELARDO de O'NEAL: Mr. Chair? 3 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Delegate Belardo de O'Neal? 4 DELEGATE BELARDO de O'NEAL: Thank you, Mr. 5 Chair. 6 Mr. Chair, I agree with Member Russell to limit 7 the -- I'm in favor of what he said to limit the numbers 8 of committee that we have. And we have 15 members, so 9 each member can probably be assigned to five or three of 10 them. But I think with the limited committees we can do 11 a better job, and then when we meet with the whole body 12 then we can dissect what the committee has been doing. And it's easier to work with limited committees than 1.3 14 five, six, seven committees, and some people are not 15 going to be doing anything. 16 And then we have committees that are non func-17 tional and the other committee that's functional. So I 18 agree with Senator Russell. 19 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Thank you, Delegate Belardo 20 de O'Neal. 21 DELEGATE RUDEL A. HODGE, JR.: Point of Order? 22 Is the people who's on the Zoom, can they hear us right 23 now? 2.4 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Yes, the technician had came 25 in and made sure that they were on.

```
DELEGATE R. HODGE: Can we hear them? 'Cause I
 1
 2
        haven't heard nothing from them, and I heard before.
 3
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: It all depends whether or
 4
        not they're still there. I know I heard Silverman, but
 5
        I haven't heard the others. But they're still on?
 6
               DELEGATE R. HODGE: Can you chime in if you guys
 7
        could hear us? Or can we hear you guys, because there's
 8
        nothing.
 9
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: That don't mean that they're
10
        still there.
11
               DELEGATE R. HODGE: Oh.
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: And so I hope they're still
12
1.3
        there.
14
               DELEGATE STEDMANN HODGE, JR.: Maybe they ain't
15
        come back after lunch.
16
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Young man? 'Cause all we're
17
        seeing is empty chairs.
               DELEGATE S. HODGE: Can the camera move? Can you
18
19
        control it?
20
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: No, the camera is in the
21
        Election System.
22
               DELEGATE DEVIN F. CARRINGTON: Silverman is
23
        there.
2.4
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Silverman is there.
25
               DELEGATE S. HODGE: He home.
```

```
PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Yeah. Delegate Silverman,
 1
 2
        can you hear us?
 3
               DELEGATE DANIEL: He says he's not.
 4
               DELEGATE DAVID SILVERMAN: You are muted --
 5
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Okay.
 6
               DELEGATE SILVERMAN: -- on my screen. I can't
 7
        hear a word being spoken.
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Okay, well, we could hear
 8
 9
        you.
10
               Let's ah -- that microphone in the corner need to
11
        be on, or you need to do something?
12
               DELEGATE RUSSELL: Well, this working. This one
1.3
        working here.
14
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Yeah, because we heard him
15
        through that.
16
               DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yeah.
17
               DELEGATE R. HODGE: But he can't hear us, so
18
        that's the issue. So, you can start over.
19
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Yeah, we got that part. We
20
        hear you with that.
21
               What were you suggesting? Excuse me, technolo-
22
        gist?
23
               THE TECHNICIAN: Star 6. Okay, let me try this.
2.4
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Delegate Silverman can you
25
        hear as yet?
```

1 THE TECHNICIAN: Testing. 2 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: I object to any substantial 3 discussion right now because the delegates cannot hear 4 what's going on. We cannot hear a single word being 5 spoken in your committee room. 6 [Simultaneous speaking] 7 DELEGATE R. HODGE: So, nobody on the Zoom can 8 hear us. 9 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: We can't hear a --10 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Can you all please don't 11 engage me in that manner? You'll speak one at a time. 12 And I've already got the message about the fact that 1.3 they can't hear. And so when I just said, Delegate 14 Silverman can you hear, is to try to make sure that we 15 have it fixed so we can proceed with the knowledge that 16 everyone is back in contact. 17 And, Silverman, I don't know if you can hear, but 18 we're working to make sure we get it back where it was. 19 And, you didn't need to do nothing from your 20 thing for that up there? 21 DELEGATE JOHN J. ABRAMSON, JR.: I put my thing 22 down. 23 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: You want us to say something 2.4 or...? 25 THE TECHNICIAN: No, let me see this.

1 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Test. If you want me test, I 2 could say "test" again. You want me do it? 3 Mic test! 4 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Delegate Silverman? 5 DELEGATE DANIEL: He says we're still muted. DELEGATE RUSSELL: Mic test! Silverman? 6 7 DELEGATE R. HODGE: So maybe try redialing the 8 number again. I think it just, because of the length of 9 time, it might have just went through and got discon-10 nected, so just call in again. 11 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: I know the Legislature could 12 provide virtual access for all meetings, you know, 1.3 whether we're in a session or committee meeting. But 14 it's nice to see everyone's face; right Delegate Daniel 15 16 DELEGATE DANIEL: I hear you. 17 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: -- to be present and to make 18 an effort, you know, so that we should gather together. 19 Even, we leave ourselves subject to the behavior of 20 technology, irrespective of what building we deh in or 21 what island we deh on. 22 The Legislature work well because they do that 23 And even self in the Legislature they were everyday. 2.4 still doing virtual thing during corona, Senators from 25 St. Thomas and Senators from St. Croix don't come over

```
1
        to the district when it got committee meetings and stay
 2
        in their office. One time they been on a talk show
 3
        talking, it come like ah you could sit down on a toilet
 4
        on a plane and participate in meetings.
 5
               DELEGATE RUSSELL: Who's the host?
 6
               DELEGATE ABRAMSON: I am the host.
 7
               THE TECHNICIAN: So, you could add him.
 8
               DELEGATE RUSSELL: Okay, you got to add him.
               DELEGATE ABRAMSON: Okay.
 9
10
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Just as I was saying, John,
11
        who didn't want to listen, when you shut down the thing.
12
               DELEGATE ABRAMSON: I shut it down 'cause ahyo
        say shut it down.
1.3
14
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Oh, you could blame we.
15
               DELEGATE ABRAMSON: Participants, wifi, admit,
16
        admit, admit. Okay, everybody in now.
17
               DELEGATE R. HODGE: All right, then you need to
18
        close out of that and mute it.
19
               DELEGATE RUSSELL: So, John you putting that on
20
        that main screen or that's you doing that?
21
               DELEGATE R. HODGE: No, no, that's a separate
22
        instance.
23
               DELEGATE ABRAMSON: That's a separate instance
2.4
        right now.
25
               DELEGATE RUSSELL: Oh, okay.
```

| 1 | DELEGATE R. HODGE: Right. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Now we got to mute this one again; okay? |
| 3 | DELEGATE ABRAMSON: So, I need to end this yah. |
| 4 | I end it. Everybody is in now. They're all in now. |
| 5 | DELEGATE R. HODGE: Go back, press back again? |
| 6 | Participants. |
| 7 | DELEGATE ABRAMSON: Start again. Participants |
| 8 | DELEGATE R. HODGE: You end the whole meeting for |
| 9 | everybody so everybody got kicked off just now. |
| 10 | So, now they're going to be all added back in |
| 11 | one-by-one; okay? |
| 12 | DELEGATE ABRAMSON: Me ain't using it my thing |
| 13 | no more. |
| 14 | Ahyo, find a new way to do Zoom from now on then. |
| 15 | I ain't using my thing. |
| 16 | DELEGATE R. HODGE: Okay, mic check. Can you |
| 17 | guys here us on the Zoom now, David? |
| 18 | DELEGATE SILVERMAN: I can hear you, but I can't |
| 19 | see anyone, but I can hear you. |
| 20 | DELEGATE R. HODGE: All right. We're going to |
| 21 | set it up so you could see now, but we have the audio |
| 22 | part corrected. |
| 23 | Visual is coming shortly. |
| 24 | DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Okay, thank you. |
| 25 | DELEGATE R. HODGE: No problem. |

```
1
               So, you're going to turn off the audio and start
 2
        the video. On the left-hand corner, audio off, video
 3
        on.
 4
               DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Okay, it appears that I can
 5
        see you and hear you. And I apologize if it was just me
 6
        who was having that difficulty.
 7
               DELEGATE R. HODGE: No, no apologies necessary.
 8
        It was collectively some issues going on.
 9
               Did you just -- wait!
10
               DELEGATE RUSSELL: John, you controlling; right?
11
               DELEGATE R. HODGE: You just end the meeting
12
        again?
1.3
               DELEGATE ABRAMSON: No, no, no, no. It's still
14
        up.
15
               DELEGATE SILVERMAN: The video did disappear just
16
        now.
               DELEGATE R. HODGE: You got to join back on here.
17
18
               DELEGATE S. HODGE: Patience, my brother,
19
        patience.
20
               DELEGATE RUSSELL: Oh, so, Usie, you're suggest-
21
        ing that we can use the Legislature at times when we
22
        have meetings?
23
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Me?
2.4
               DELEGATE RUSSELL: No?
25
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: No, I didn't go that far
```

down the road. 1 2 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Okay. 3 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: No, what -- the only thing I 4 said about the Legislature is that we didn't have this 5 kind of difficulty 'cause they have a system that they 6 utilize everyday. 7 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yeah. 8 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: And the use of the Legisla-9 ture in the beginning of a new body, you know they're 10 going to be having meetings going back and forth --11 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Right, right. 12 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: -- so we're going to have to 1.3 14 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Maneuver. 15 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: -- be at the liberty of when 16 they don't have anything scheduled. 17 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Right, okay. 18 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: What I did suggest, and I 19 did mention it earlier and I could mention it again, is 20 that we have clear weekend access --21 DELEGATE RUSSELL: To the Port Authority. 22 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: -- to the Port Authority 23 conference room in St. Thomas and St. Croix --2.4 DELEGATE RUSSELL: I like that. 25 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: -- where their facilities

| 1 | are up and running. |
|----|---|
| 2 | DELEGATE AKIMA RICHARDSON: Mr. President, when |
| 3 | we have like bigger rooms and stuff, the public is wel- |
| 4 | comed to attend? |
| 5 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: The intention, and this is |
| 6 | why I'm mentioning those rooms |
| 7 | DELEGATE RICHARDSON: Yes. |
| 8 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: is that the rooms should |
| 9 | be ah |
| 10 | DELEGATE RICHARDSON: Yes. |
| 11 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: a larger space. Or that |
| 12 | was Delegate Daniel that had lunch with us? |
| 13 | DELEGATE RICHARDSON: Maybe somebody else. |
| 14 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: No, it was Delegate Daniel |
| 15 | that had lunch with us. You left her all alone. |
| 16 | DELEGATE RICHARDSON: Not me. |
| 17 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Yes, you did. |
| 18 | No, I was saying that they have a bigger space |
| 19 | upstairs that they offered us |
| 20 | DELEGATE RICHARDSON: Okay. |
| 21 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: but it has no technology. |
| 22 | DELEGATE RICHARDSON: In this same building? |
| 23 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: In this building. |
| 24 | DELEGATE RICHARDSON: Okay. |
| 25 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: And so we looked at the Port |
| | |

| 1 | Authority. We'll also possibly look at the Research & |
|----|---|
| 2 | Technology Park that has a at the university |
| 3 | DELEGATE RICHARDSON: Yes. |
| 4 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: that has a large area |
| 5 | that allows for delegates and the audience to be in |
| 6 | attendance. |
| 7 | DELEGATE RICHARDSON: Nice. |
| 8 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Are we still stuck here? |
| 9 | DELEGATE R. HODGE: We somehow |
| 10 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: No, but we were on and then |
| 11 | we went off again? |
| 12 | But those are at least the two viable alterna- |
| 13 | tives that I think would accommodate |
| 14 | DELEGATE RUSSELL: The public? |
| 15 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: the public and the dele- |
| 16 | gates. |
| 17 | DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yeah, because I'm really |
| 18 | concerned about the public being involved. |
| 19 | DELEGATE RICHARDSON: Because I did notice |
| 20 | DELEGATE R. HODGE: Can I suggest we have some |
| 21 | sessions at the high school as well? |
| 22 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: We, we that'll be the |
| 23 | sessions of? |
| 24 | DELEGATE R. HODGE: We could have a session right |
| 25 | there, you know, on the auditorium at high school or |

1 Kean or in the gymnasium and have students and also the 2 community come out for that. 3 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: But suggestions are always 4 welcomed. 5 DELEGATE R. HODGE: Um-hm. 6 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yeah, but the logistics. 7 DELEGATE R. HODGE: Can I suggest? 8 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: And did I just respond to 9 say suggestions are welcomed? Yes. 10 DELEGATE RUSSELL: But the logistics. 11 DELEGATE R. HODGE: Um-hm. 12 Those would be major sessions for sure. We just have to find out --1.3 14 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yeah, but they got security 15 issues with people coming onto to the schools. 16 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Since I am apparently the 17 only remote participant, I don't want to hold up these 18 proceedings. I don't need the visual feed. I can hear 19 you fine, but I don't want to -- I think we can probably 20 start talking while you try to sort out the videos. 21 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Everybody is okay with 22 Delegate Silverman's suggestion? 23 CHORUS OF DELEGATES: Yes. 2.4 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Okay. 25 DELEGATE RUPERT W. ROSS, JR.: On the assumption

1 that he's correct. Are there others? 2 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: The other people have 3 vacated the space in the Election System. DELEGATE ROSS: They have? So he's the only 4 5 other person? 6 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Yes. 7 DELEGATE ROSS: If you guys are comfortable, 8 fine. 9 DELEGATE R. HODGE: And we also have to acknow-10 ledge that there are public participants who are viewing 11 and watching who might want to see it live. 12 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Yeah, well, they are secon-1.3 dary to Delegate Silverman and ourselves. So if they 14 could hear, they should be able to do what they're 15 doing. 16 Can I -- can we rise out of recess and convene 17 with the Committee of the Whole once more? And we were 18 on the subject matter of Item No. 2 on the agenda regarding discussion of the Standing Committees (Number 19 20 & Composition), suggestions and ideas. 21 If my memory serve me correctly, we heard from 22 Delegate Russell, Delegate Belardo de O'Neal. Did I 23 miss anyone else who spoke? That was it? 2.4 And, anyone else wanted to offer any discussion 25 and/or suggestion on this topic?

Delegate Silverman, were you saying something? 1 2 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Yes. 3 Could you just summarize what was said previously 4 by delegates that you just mentioned, 'cause the audio 5 feed was not working when they were speaking. 6 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Ah -- Delegate Russell, you 7 want to summarize your statement --8 DELEGATE RUSSELL: I could. 9 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: -- and then Belardo de 10 O'Neal. 11 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Delegate Silverman, I was 12 suggesting that we limit the amount of committees, not 1.3 to proceed like the Fifth having so many committees. 14 And the limiting would be based on the fact that 15 we are 15, and you're trying to include as much dele-16 gates in each committee. And you can -- I think we can 17 convene committees to cover the areas that we need to 18 cover in three or four committees. I don't believe we 19 need as much as the Fifth Constitutional Convention. 20 And my last comment was about inclusion of the 21 delegates. With 15 people, you know how you could do 22 three or four committees and cover everybody being part 23 of a committee and having a meaningful input. That was 2.4 my commentary.

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Delegate Belardo de O'Neal?

1 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Thank you. 2 DELEGATE BELARDO de O'NEAL: My remarks was that 3 I am supporting Member Russell with his recommendation, 4 because I think that once you have less committees that 5 more can be done rather than have some members chairing 6 another committee and doing nothing productive. 7 having less committee and working members, we can have 8 better efficiency in running the committee and bringing 9 it to the whole members. 10 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: And, before I move on to 11 recognize whoever would like to speak next, I think we 12 should also put in context the subject matter when we're 1.3 making comparisons to the Fifth or even the Fourth when 14 Delegate Ross, who is here, presided over the Fourth 15 Constitutional Convention. I think they had 60 members. 16 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yes. 17 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: And in the Fifth Constitu-18 tional Convention, I think they had -- it had to be at 19 least 30. 20 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Thirty. 21 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: It was 30? 22 DELEGATE S. HODGE: Yes. 23 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: At least 30. And we're a 2.4 body of 15.

And then, more importantly, outside of the size

and the numbers, a few of us may have in our 'lil private sit-downs and discussions or just in talking to each other, may have had a concern about the restrictiveness of -- I can't remember the Act No. -- but it's 86 -- 80-something --

DELEGATE ABRAMSON: 8681.

1.3

2.4

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: 8681. The restrictiveness in the manner in which the Legislature put the initial legislation in place, where it particularly says that we should be looking at the content of the Revised Organic Act of 1954 as amended, and that we should be looking at the content of the Fifth Constitutional Convention document, as was proposed.

And, thirdly, that we should be looking at the transmittal from the United States Department of Justice in regards to their concerns of the submitted Fifth Constitutional Government of the U.S. -- to the United States -- document, excuse me -- to the United States government.

And so, with those confines, I just wanted to add that to the discussion so that it will bring more focus into where, you know, we ought to be going. And, more importantly, by them outlining these three items of documentation for us to review, it also sets forth the -- some prerequisites, boundaries, structure of what we

1 are to begin to address. 2 And so that was only my comment given the two 3 comments shared by Delegate Russell and Lilliana Belardo de O'Neal. 4 5 I have no intention of us putting ourselves in a 6 position of criticizing past Constitutional Conventions 7 and what they did and how they did it. As a matter of 8 fact, I think there might be, at least in this room, 9 there's only two persons that have already been -- have 10 -- during our lifetime -- that have already been on a 11 Constitutional Convention, which is Delegate Stedmann 12 Hodge, Jr. and Delegate Rupert Ross, Jr. 1.3 I don't think any one of us, at least in this 14 room, have sat on a Constitutional Convention previous-15 ly. 16 Is there anyone want to offer some discussion on the subject matter? And I'm hoping, that if not --17 18 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: I do. 19 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Delegate Silverman? 20 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Thank you for your recogni-21 tion, Mr. President. 22 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Oh, no, sorry I thought you 23 wanted to be recognized? 2.4 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yes.

DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Please?

1 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Yes, oh, please? 2 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Yes. I was simply looking 3 for clarification on what's the composition or what the 4 charter would be of the three to four committees that 5 have been suggested. 6 I would just say that when I was sifting through, 7 when I looked at the proceedings of the Fifth and the 8 charter of the Fifth, there were 30 members; there were 9 12 committees; they had 9 members per committee.

We have half the number of -- and I should also say they had two years to perform their duty.

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

We have half the amount of time and half the amount of members. So obviously, we need a far more efficient structure and focused structure than was in the Fifth.

What I have trouble with is, if we reduce it to three or four committees, I'm curious who, for those who are advocating for that, what would be the charter?

What would be the scope of those committees?

In the proposal that I sent forward I had suggested half the number of committees as were present in the Fifth, reflecting half the membership that we have. And I have proposed committees one each on each of the three branches of government; one committee on education; one of health care and one on cultural and

historic resources. And I think I came up with six or seven committees.

1.3

2.4

So I have just this question for the group of what would those three or four committees you're recommending consist of?

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Thank you, Delegate Silverman.

The point of our discussion at this time is basically to allow all the delegates that would like to share their ideas on the subject matter of committees, its numbers and even on focus, but not at this point to have one delegate questioning the belief, positions and/or ideas of a delegate as shared with us.

It is a listening session, I suspect, for us to be able to make some assessments.

DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Okay, then if I could just rephrase my comments:

I thought that it was reasonable to expect each of our 15 delegates to put in four days a month of time on this Constitutional Convention. And given that there will probably be one plenary session a month, that has actually left 3 \times 15 -- 45 days a month of delegate time for committee meetings.

It was my feeling that if we had six members per committee with a balance between districts, that would

allow us to have seven committees each with three members each per district, and then it would not require any delegate to participate in more than three committees per month.

And that was the basis on which I was recommending six or seven committees. Thank you.

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Thank you, Delegate Silver-

Any other delegate?

1.3

2.4

Delegate Stedmann Hodge, Jr.?

DELEGATE S. HODGE: Thank you, Mr. President.

What I could share is I'm in support of at least five to seven committees. The thing is, even if we keep it down to four, what we're looking at is, based on the combined -- I'm going to use the term "issue" -- let's say it's the judicial branch; something from the executive branch, and it can cause meetings to become very very lengthy; okay, versus just meeting in that short period of time as most delegates here may want to allude to.

The -- I'm not going to -- I don't want to compare it to the Virgin Islands Legislature in any way with the number of committees that they have. But having those committees and the way and the fashion that they are broken down, it actually can provide better

structure to the type of meetings we're going to have. 1 2 The committee chairs have a responsibility to 3 host meetings. The president has the authority to, if 4 someone is not performing, because he's in the position 5 to appoint those members, he can remove them; get some-6 one in there that's doing their job; okay? That's your 7 accountability. So we're leading from the front. 8 But I am in support of five to seven committees. 9 They're much more targeted, specific, and if we do it, 10 we can accomplish more. That's my thoughts on it. 11 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Thank you, Delegate Stedmann 12 Hodge, Jr. 1.3 Anyone else want to speak on this subject matter? 14 DELEGATE RICHARDSON: President Richards? 15 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Delegate Richardson? 16 DELEGATE RICHARDSON: Yes. 17 My only question is: Is it the expectation for 18 committees to meet separately, meaning the committee as a whole? Or do all delegates meet in support of those 19 20 committees? 21 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Just for clarification --22 and I'm just going to paraphrase to make sure I 23 understand your question: 2.4 On the subject committee -- excuse me -- on the 25 subject of standing committees --

1 DELEGATE RICHARDSON: Correct. 2 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: -- the understanding and the 3 operation of both of standing committees in the past and 4 moving forward, is that a standing --5 DELEGATE RICHARDSON: Yes. 6 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: -- each standing committee 7 has a particular scope of jurisdiction that they are 8 responsible to pursue in developing whether it's revi-9 sions, modifications or new proposals to the Constitu-10 tional Convention. 11 This is just an example, and just an example: 12 If a committee have five persons and five 1.3 committee members, that they will have a scheduled 14 meeting on the calendar. 15 Now, the fact that the committee have five 16 persons, does not negate, exclude or prevent non 17 committee members --18 DELEGATE RICHARDSON: From attending those 19 meetings. 20 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: -- from attending, partici-21 pating, offering their input. 22 The five members are responsible for casting 23 votes and making decisions of what should be moved out 2.4 to the full body for consideration. 25 DELEGATE RICHARDSON: Thank you.

```
1
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: I answered your question?
 2
               DELEGATE RICHARDSON: Yes.
 3
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Okay.
 4
               DELEGATE RICHARDSON: Yes, thank you.
 5
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Okay.
 6
               Delegate Daniel?
 7
               DELEGATE DANIEL: Yes, I'd just like to be
 8
        recognized to speak on the issue, please?
 9
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Okay, you're recognized.
10
               DELEGATE DANIEL: Thank you.
11
               So, I'm still in support of more committees.
12
        think that was kind of blatantly outlined in the propo-
        sal that came out of the St. Thomas/St. John district.
1.3
14
               I agree with Mr. Hodge that five to seven work.
15
        And in the interest of time -- 'cause I do have to leave
16
        soon, unfortunately -- I'm just going to explicitly name
17
        the committees:
18
               So what we were thinking was a legislative branch
19
        -- there are seven total; right - so there's a Legisla-
20
        tive Branch committee; a Judicial Branch committee; an
21
        Executive Branch committee.
22
               And side conversations that I've had with I think
23
        most of you, there is interest in significant change in
2.4
        each of those branches that brings a different skill-
25
        et; right?
```

1 Then we move into the Education committee, which 2 I've also heard Mr. Abramson mentioned. It seems like 3 there seems to be consensus around that committee. 4 I think where we might differ a bit on the addi-5 onal three is a Taxation, Finance and Commerce commit-6 tee; a Health, Human Services and Public Safety commit-7 tee and then an Environmental, Cultural and Historical 8 Preservation committee. 9 And so, I would appreciate any support or any 10 debate or argument on why none of those committees would 11 be helpful for the full document. 12 I think I'm going to just hold on to rest of my 1.3 time just in case. Thank you so much. 14 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Thank you, Delegate Daniel. 15 So, you might have to use some, because I don't 16 want you to hold on to time and then miss the opportu-17 nity to share. 18 DELEGATE DANIEL: We'll see how it goes, but 19 thank you. 20 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Oh, okay. 21 Anyone else? 22 Delegate Rudel Hodge, Jr.? 23 DELEGATE R. HODGE: So, I will also agree with 2.4 Delegate Daniel. I think seven is the optimal number

with this delegation, with six members on each of those

1 committees; three from St. Thomas; three from St. Croix 2 -- St. Thomas/St. John, of course, and then three from 3 St. Croix with the at-large delegate being on as many 4 committees as they want to. 5 I think that will be the most equitable way that 6 we can proceed by giving shared responsibilities between 7 both jurisdictions that have different needs. 8 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Thank you. 9 Anyone else that are present? 10 Delegate Ross, Rupert Ross, Jr.? 11 DELEGATE ROSS: Thank you, Mr. President. 12 I have been looking and reviewing the different 1.3 committee structures being proposed, and I'm concerned 14 about the omission of a committee to deal with issues as 15 it relates to Initiative, Referendum and Recall --16 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: And recall. 17 DELEGATE ROSS: -- and amending of this document. 18 And, just from a historical perspective, my com-19 mitment on the Third Constitutional Convention and on 20 the Fourth basically focused on that -- those particular 21 issues, because I deem them to be necessary for the 22 future evolution of the document going forward. 23 And I would like to see a committee that deals 2.4 with that specifically on this Convention. 25 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Okay.

1 If I may, Delegate Ross, ask, so at least -- and 2 hopefully it's not for my own edification, but for all 3 of us: 4 On the subject matter of Initiative, Referendum 5 and Recall -- which is a subject matter that I hold 6 dearly like yourself -- do you think that is a 7 matter that can be addressed under the structural review 8 of the Revised Organic Act of 1954 as amended, in making 9 determinations of what we may want to expound upon 10 within a Constitutional document? 11 And, sorry, I didn't mean to put you on the spot, 12 but I just want to make sure that I -- at least, that I 1.3 grab your concerns clearly. 14 DELEGATE ROSS: Well, my concern -- my observa-15 tion is that, none of the committees being described 16 seem to address that --17 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Okav. 18 DELEGATE ROSS: -- that issue. 19 And I think a standing committee ought -- that 20 issue should be assigned to a standing committee, whe-21 ther it's incorporate it into one of the committees 22 being described or a committee on to itself, it should 23 be something that this Convention revisit and address. 2.4 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Point well-taken.

Delegate Carrington?

1 DELEGATE CARRINGTON: I just want to go back to 2 Delegate Ross, the second committee, which was that 3 committee? I think you mentioned two committees that 4 you -- you said the Initiative, Referendum and Recall 5 committee. And then you spoke about another committee; 6 did you? I'd like to --7 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: I'm having difficulty hear-8 ing Delegate Carrington. 9 DELEGATE CARRINGTON: The question to Delegate 10 Ross, I thought that I heard him mention two committees. 11 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: No, he only mentioned one. 12 DELEGATE CARRINGTON: Did I hear that? 1.3 DELEGATE DANIEL: I think it's just Initiative, Referendum with amendment and recall for the Constitu-14 15 tion as one focused area. 16 DELEGATE RUSSELL: As one committee. 17 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: As a focused area. 18 mentioned -- not as a committee, he mentioned that focus 19 could be as a committee or included into a committee the 20 subject matter of Initiative, Referendum and Recall. 2.1 DELEGATE CARRINGTON: And you did mention some-22 thing about, some where to address the amendments to the 23 Constitution itself; did I hear that? 2.4 DELEGATE DANIEL: Yes. 25 DELEGATE CARRINGTON: So, that's something

```
1
        different.
 2
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: I got you. All right.
 3
               Let's see --
 4
               [Phone ringing]
 5
               DELEGATE ROSS: I'm sorry. I turned off my
 6
        phone.
 7
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Rudel, I mean, sorry --
 8
        Delegate Hodge -- could you help out Delegate Ross there
 9
10
               DELEGATE ROSS: I really was trying.
11
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: -- with putting it on
12
        vibrate, mute or silence?
1.3
               DELEGATE ROSS: That's what I thought I did.
14
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: That's why -- I know you
15
        tried, I just asked for some competent person with these
16
        technology to help you out.
17
               DELEGATE ROSS: Can you strike that from the
18
        record?
19
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Strike that from the record.
20
               [Laughter]
21
               Sorry, go ahead, Delegate Ross.
22
               DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Mr. President?
23
               DELEGATE ROSS: I said Initiative, Referendum and
2.4
        Recall, and then I said and amending the Constitution,
25
        those were the two.
```

| 1 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Yes, two separate topics |
|----|---|
| 2 | DELEGATE CARRINGTON: That was my point. |
| 3 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: for a committee. |
| 4 | DELEGATE ROSS: Correct. |
| 5 | DELEGATE CARRINGTON: Two separate topics for "a" |
| 6 | committee or two separate committees? |
| 7 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Someone |
| 8 | DELEGATE ROSS: Two separate topics. However you |
| 9 | want to assign it is fine with me. I just want them to |
| 10 | be part of the process. |
| 11 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: When you're leaving, leave |
| 12 | the document here. |
| 13 | DELEGATE DANIEL: Okay. I have not reviewed or |
| 14 | signed it yet. |
| 15 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: No, no, no. I just didn't |
| 16 | want you to leave with it. That's the Resolution from |
| 17 | January 28th, that's the same one. |
| 18 | DELEGATE DANIEL: Understood. |
| 19 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Okay. |
| 20 | DELEGATE DANIEL: But I'm going to give it back |
| 21 | to you because you said you were going to scan it so I |
| 22 | could distribute it. |
| 23 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: No, I want to keep that |
| 24 | original here that I could scan it without the original |
| 25 | and then let you look at it. |

DELEGATE DANIEL: Yes, sir. So I'm going to give 1 2 it back to you. 3 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Okay. 4 DELEGATE DANIEL: Here you go. Thank you. DELEGATE ABRAMSON: Silverman has his hand up. 5 6 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Yeah. Anyone else? 7 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yeah, I -- I -- I --8 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: I coming to you, Delegate 9 Silverman. 10 DELEGATE RUSSELL: I -- I -- public education and 11 dissemination of information, of some type using social media, using the media, I would like that to be consi-12 1.3 dered in one of these committees as a primary goal of 14 the Constitution. 15 Because unless the public understand and in sync 16 with us, we don't make no sense, unless we got that 17 under control. And I think a committee should address 18 that. I don't know how we're going to do it, but I 19 believe so. 20 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Anyone else on the topic? 21 Delegate Carrington? 22 DELEGATE CARRINGTON: Yeah, I think that I'm 23 persuaded by the arguments of all who spoke that I think 2.4 we may need more than four committees. 25 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yeah.

DELEGATE CARRINGTON: Public dissemination is 1 2 something we need. I think that we also -- unless any 3 of these topics could fit squarely and neatly within the 4 four committees we have already formed, there may be a need for more than four committees. How we determine to 5 6 look at this we can debate that. 7 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yeah, I agree. 8 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Delegate Abramson, you're 9 saying something? 10 DELEGATE ABRAMSON: I think we can do a govern-11 ment structure that entitles all of them into one com-12 mittee that deals with government structure, legisla-1.3 tive, judicial, all of them. Say "government" and do 14 everything, and that takes care of the problem, at least 15 in my mind. 16 DELEGATE S. HODGE: If I may? Because I want to -- I want to --17 18 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Please, please, please? 19 Wait until you're recognized so that the stenographer 20 can keep an accurate record? 21 Delegate Stedmann Hodge, Jr.? 22 DELEGATE S. HODGE: Yes, through the President to 23 Delegate Abramson: It would be like the term "Committee 2.4 on Government Operations" that sounds good? 25 DELEGATE ABRAMSON: Like that, something like

1 that. 2 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: All right. 3 Delegate Silverman, sorry for some reason I 4 believe you were saying something additional? 5 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Yes, but I was -- just sim-6 ply wanted to say is I think things like referendum, 7 recall and other topics such as women's rights, such and 8 environment, I don't think we can possibly capture all 9 of the topics which each of us believe needs to be 10 addressed simply within the names and titles of whether 11 it's four or seven committees. 12 And so I do believe that at some point we will 1.3 need to more clearly define the scope of each of those 14 committees and find a home for all of the topics we want 15 to discuss. 16 And simply because we don't have a committee 17 which is identified by title as Initiative, Referendum 18 and Recall doesn't mean that the committee -- one of the 19 other committees couldn't handle that matter. 20 So, I just didn't want to get too hang up on the 21 names. Obviously, every topic has to find a home as 22 such, and that was all I had to say. 23 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Thank you. 2.4 Anyone else?

DELEGATE DANIEL: Mr. Chair, I need to leave.

25

```
1
        will call in to continue listening, participate
 2
        virtually, but I do need to go.
 3
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Okay, call in. Sorry, that
        was Delegate ah --
 4
 5
               DELEGATE DANIEL: Daniel.
 6
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: -- Imani Daniel.
 7
               When you say "call in" you're logging in on the
 8
        Zoom thing is what you're saying?
 9
               DELEGATE DANIEL: Yes, I'll be joining you virtu-
10
        ally for the rest of this discussion.
11
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Oh, okay.
12
               DELEGATE DANIEL: Okay, thank you. Thanks every-
1.3
        one. Have a good day!
14
               CHORUS OF DELEGATES:
                                     Same to you.
15
               DELEGATE DANIEL: Thank you so much.
                                                     I'll see
16
        you at the Ag Fair, if you guys are there.
17
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: I just have a 'lil take on
18
        the committee thing. I know we had concerns with this
19
        topic for discussion.
20
               The -- I understand the importance of having
21
        functional committees and the necessity of them. And
22
        after listening to the discussions and the ideas of
23
        everyone, it just raised a thought in my mind, as a "for
2.4
        instance" or as "an example." If my memory serve me
25
        correctly, the current document for the Fifth
```

Constitutional Convention is 27 pages long.

1.3

2.4

And I mentioned that to raise the question, since the question keep popping up in my mind: Whether we should have a structural approach to reviewing that document in ah -- let's say in five stages of six pages, if it were 30, and see if there's things in there that we make a determination of needing to be revised, modified, added to, deleted from.

If a task like that is completed before the establishment of committees, so when we have the committees, what are the committees going to come up with, their ideas on the subject matter, when we've been tasked to review the former documents.

And this is just -- not something firm in my mind, this is just thought 'cause I keep listening to everyone about a committee to address this, or a committee that have jurisdiction over that, so that was just a thought that just popped in.

Rudel Hodge, Jr.?

DELEGATE SILVERMAN: May I respond to that, sir?

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Rudel?

Delegate Rudel Hodge, Jr. has the floor.

DELEGATE R. HODGE: So what mechanism would you imagine for doing that? Are we going to go each delegate line-by-line of the Fifth draft, and then say we

agree with this line or disagree with this line until we have that, you know, compiled information? Or is it something you want to do in a group exercise?

1.3

2.4

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: In response to you, I wasn't speaking about a group exercise.

Let's say you have ah three committees of five persons and assigned particular sections to do an immediate review to come back and give like an input to the group about the things that they saw. And this isn't for them to develop some consensus or agreement, but for them to have an opportunity to --

DELEGATE RUSSELL: To present it.

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: -- to present and see if things jump out at them on the page. And so then we will have a better idea of how then to slap those things into committee for further discussion and vetting for making amended changes.

That was just a thought.

Delegate Silverman?

DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Yes. I think that that's an extremely production suggestion. I believe that each of us probably has issues that are burning issues that we consider to be critical to be addressed, whether it is revision of sections that were incompatible to federal law or it is addition of sections that were not consi-

dered 15 years ago, or whatever it may be.

1.3

2.4

I don't think it needs to be a line-by-line revision by each of the 15 of us. I think it would be productive if each of us, in a very short period of time, submitted a brief point-by-point list, a bullet list of the sections within in the Fifth draft which need additions or revisions, and then that would be a very good basis for getting into what we adopt into committee with similar subject matters.

So I think that that's a very constructive approach to how we would decide what the scope of our committees should be and how many there would be.

DELEGATE RUSSELL: I agree.

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Sorry, don't agree until you're called to agree.

DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yeah.

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Thank you, Delegate Silverman.

Delegate Russell and then Delegate Carrington.

Delegate Russell?

DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yeah, I agree with that structure, because I think it would -- it would focus, bring focus on what we're supposed to be doing, and I like that. Because it brings into focus and it engages each delegate, so I like that. So I agree.

1 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Delegate Carrington? 2 DELEGATE CARRINGTON: So we inadvertently transitioned into the second topic of this afternoon's dis-3 4 cussion. Because the second topic, as I understand, is 5 to determine the methodology use to go over the Fifth, 6 which is our tasks. 7 But in discussing your -- you haven't crossed-8 over officially -- but we're discussing that process in 9 order to determine how committees are structured. 10 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: I like everything you said 11 except for the word "inadvertently." 12 I didn't -- I did not inadvertently go there. 1.3 But I arrived to that third topic from just taking in 14 what everybody was saying. 15 And Delegate Russell, I think, refined my 16 thoughts in his statement about doing an exercise like 17 that can aid and assist us in making a real determina-18 tion of what committees we really need. 19 DELEGATE CARRINGTON: And --20 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: And, sorry, no, no, I'm 21 finished. Delegate Carrington? 22 DELEGATE CARRINGTON: -- and which topic that we 23 would -- which topic goes under what heading or what 2.4 committee. 25 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: That was -- that was my idea after just listening.

1.3

2.4

I guess, that was one of the reasons I prefaced my statement by saying I'm just giving feedback to what -- I'm just giving feedback to what was being said and just trying to process of listen to myself what everybody has been sharing.

Since I "inadvertently" slipped into Item 3 on the agenda, I want to just ask of the group:

Irrespective of my simple approach by calling the number of pages 27, what we can do, and we should do, that although it have 27 pages, they have topics; correct? There is Articles.

And so that we might find some way to equally divide these Articles into three pieces for three groups of five to begin to work on the review, and come back and make a report based on their analysis, although we may not have been part of the group, but they have dedicated the time to do the initial analysis, and then go from there.

What's the thinking? Is that something we can work with?

DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Could you -- I think I missed some of what was said.

Are you saying, after the initial stage right now, that you divide the Fifth draft by Articles into

1 perhaps three sections or three groups of Articles and 2 that different individuals would comment on the changes 3 required in each of those groups? PRESIDENT RICHARDS: The last part requires some 4 5 clarity -- requires some clarity and correction, Dele-6 gate Silverman. 7 In your last statement, the last part of your 8 statement, my intention and what I stated was that: 9 would have three equal groups of five persons tackle a 10 certain number of Articles and each group, amongst them-11 selves, the group, will begin to conduct a discussion on 12 those subject matters and see if there are in fact 1.3 things that jump out from those Articles that they 14 think, they believe, require attention for modification, 15 revision, amending or deletion. 16 Am I clear, Delegate Silverman? 17 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Yes. 18 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Okay. 19 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: But are you saying that 20 those three groups would be the three standing 21 committees? 22 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: No. 23 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Okay. PRESIDENT RICHARDS: I'm sorry. 2.4 25 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Okay.

1 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: No, no, no, but no, it's 2 important that you asked that question. 3 And what we said earlier, which was not solely 4 shared by me, but was shared by a couple other dele-5 gates, is that from the vetting --6 [Interrupting noises] 7 Come on, people? 8 -- that from that vetting process, we will be 9 able to make a determination of the outstanding, the 10 thorns that stick out from each of these committees on 11 the basis of -- each of these groups, excuse me -- on 12 the basis of those thorns, we could utilize the thorns 1.3 to determine the type of committees and what committee 14 we should have to address further discussion on them. 15 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Yes, and I fully support 16 that two-phase process. 17 I think we can balance committee workload; we can 18 have a focus on what needs to be done --19 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yes, that's what we said. 20 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: -- by the entire body. 21 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: All right. Thank you. 22 Is there anything else that we should -- on the 23 topic, Delegate Hodge -- I'm sorry, Delegate Stedmann 2.4 Hodge, Jr., for the record. 25 DELEGATE S. HODGE: Yeah, thank you, Mr.

President.

1.3

2.4

I think we should also consider going over the nine recommendations that were made to the Fifth. It can give some, especially for those who don't have that experience, it'll give us an idea of what went wrong; what went right since we're going to be really using the Fifth as our guide.

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Thank you, Delegate Hodge.
Anyone else?

So, as we are about the conclude our meeting, Delegate Russell that finger was to say something?

DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yeah, I -- yeah, because -- PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Delegate Russell?

DELEGATE RUSSELL: You know, I don't know if we have subpoena power in our Article of formation from the Legislature. And when you come up with your idea that you just came up with, and you need information and you need stuff from the government and you need stuff, you need to have subpoena power.

And I'm just throwing it out there because I like the idea of groups forming to get information. But unless you got subpoen power, some of them government offices ain't going to provide the information.

So it's a suggestion that we got to consider; okay? Thank you.

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: And, that was Delegate

Russell. And you made that statement and all you do is

frighten me more.

DELEGATE RUSSELL: No, no, no. It --

1.3

2.4

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: You're not allowing me to share my -- what you call it -- my biopic view of what you just said? Because it may be just my shallow viewing of the topic.

And where my mind went after you said that is ah what exactly a Constitution should be. Because -- and this is not in regards to what you said -- I'm just saying where my mind went, because sometimes people think -- sometimes you'll hear people speak about the Constitution as if it's about the manner in which government is executed in comparison to the Constitution being the format, the umbrella of how government should operate.

DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yeah.

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: So, I do want to make sure we're talking about manner of operation and the format of how it should operate.

And that wasn't to dismiss -- you just get me frightened, 'cause when you said, you know, the Legislature or the Committee of Health and calling the Commissioner from the Department of Health saying we

1 want you in and we want to hear -- for me, and this just 2 me -- from a Constitutional standpoint, they are Execu-3 tive Branch representatives, that in my mind, I don't 4 see as being responsible for the structure of how the Executive Branch operates. That's just my mind. 5 6 And it falls on both sides of the coin depending 7 on the topic --8 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yes, exactly. 9 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: -- and the subject matter. 10 That was it.

Attorney Carrington -- I mean, Delegate Carring-ton?

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

DELEGATE CARRINGTON: I think Ronnie's -- excuse me, Delegate Russell's -- thought is important.

I think that, as part and parcel of what we do here, we definitely may need to speak to people with respect to how government operates; how government should operate and get their perspective with respect to how government is operating.

So what I'm saying is that, the calling in of certain individuals may be very necessary to get the best sense that we can get so we can get the highest understanding as to how government operates as opposed to how it should operate and then reduce that into the document that we need to generate.

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: I hear you clearly.

And my last perception of that suggested idea is ah:

"Here comes these delegates from the Sixth Constitutional Convention coming to the Legislature of the Virgin Islands; seeking some power to subpoena to do this, before they get busy in dealing with this Constitutional document."

Because subpoena powers, as pointed clearly by Delegate Russell, is not something that is currently included in the law.

DELEGATE RUSSELL: No.

1.3

2.4

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: And so in order to secure that, that requires us to put our neck out on the limb to go to the Legislature to ask them to add this thing and then they will be in a position to have to have discussion, debate amongst themselves, privately and publicly on the floor, about "why -- they ain't self start yet, and now they want to subpoena people."

I'm just saying -- I'm not disagreeing, I'm -well, let me put it this way: We have a bunch of people here who been in politics a 'lil while. And I was
just speaking on the political impression to a body that
will have to approve of this idea, enact it, or pass it
in the Legislature and a Executive that have to sign it

into law. 1 2 DELEGATE CARRINGTON: If I may? 3 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Um-hm. Delegate Carrington? 4 DELEGATE CARRINGTON: Two things: I think that we need to impress upon the whole public how serious we 5 6 are about this process. And if subpoena power is some-7 thing that we determine is necessary for us to do this 8 process as fully and informed as we can, so be it. 9 Number two: I'd rather err on the side of going 10 for it and deal with the political blow-back rather than 11 not go for it and not have the information that we need to structure the best document we can. 12 1.3 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Thank you. 14 Now, can we go back to the --15 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: If I may speak to this? 16 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Delegate Silverman? 17 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Yes, just briefly: 18 I think it would have been great, would have been 19 very good for us to have been given subpoena power by 20 the Legislature; however we weren't. It's not in Act 21 8681, as amended four times. 22 I think the practicality of the matter is in 23 order to get that power we would probably need an 2.4 additional amendment to 8681, that would probably be 25 several months before they'll actually be actions by the Legislature, and it's no guarantee that they would even approve it at that time.

1.3

2.4

But a bigger concern is, in my experience, if you have to subpoena an individual to come and testify, you probably are not going to get very forthright and cooperative testimony from that individual. Most people who have something really to contribute will voluntarily do it.

So I question how much benefit in the end we would get from insisting on subpoena powers. That's about it.

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Thank you, Delegate Silverman.

I am hoping that we could come to the focus of some agreement in regards to the -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- what I believe I've heard today is that there is consensus or agreement of the division of the Fifth Constitutional document into portions to be reviewed by a group to get some assessment of whether or not they're items in there that needs to be reconsidered, changed, readdressed or whatever.

In addition to the review of the document from the United States Department of Justice on the -- I think it's still nine --

DELEGATE RUSSELL: Nine, nine.

1 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: -- on the nine recommenda-2 tions made by them. 3 Is that a -- I'm only trying to repeat what I 4 believe that I'm hearing -- is that a fair assessment by 5 me of what we're hearing? 6 CHORUS OF DELEGATES: 7 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Definitely. 8 DELEGATE ABRAMSON: Affirmative. 9 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Then the last question --10 because I am cognizant of the importance of how we esta-11 blish these groups, similar with the committees. And if 12 we have three of them; we have 15 members; we have five 1.3 persons in there. And so the question is being asked 14 out loud by me is: 15 The entity that should make this discussion, 16 based on our Rules adopted, of these three groups to 17 ensure inclusion of everyone -- hello? 18 DELEGATE CARRINGTON: Rephrase your statement. 19 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Common denominator language? 20 The Rules were adopted, and that Rules provide 21 for the President in conjunction with the Executive 22 Committee members to make a proposal of the group of 23 Articles to be considered and who the group of five 2.4 persons on three groups is going to be. 25 DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yes, that's correct.

1 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: And so we could do that; 2 send that out, you know, give everybody an opportunity 3 to look at it, and then make a determination of moving 4 forward to address those initial tasks. 5 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Mr. President? 6 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Oh, sorry, I keep forgetting 7 I can't see you, so thanks for speaking out, Delegate 8 Silverman. 9 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Yes, thank you, Mr. Presi-10 dent. 11 It was my understanding based on our earlier 12 conversation that these three groups that you are --1.3 that we're talking about would be, what I would call, 14 informal working groups and not the standing committees 15 which we would ultimately have. 16 And I don't see anything in the Rules which we 17 just adopted which stipulate how informal working groups 18 are created. 19 So, I -- although I have no objection to it being a discussion at the Executive Committee -- I don't think 20 21 we should say that the Rules either allow it or disallow 22 it. 23 DELEGATE ABRAMSON: He's correct. DELEGATE SILVERMAN: The material point that I 2.4 25 wanted to make is as a delegate, let's say I was

assigned to Articles 1-3 or 1-5 of the Fifth draft, I still would want to have the opportunity, if I have a burning issue that is in one of the Articles which is not in the group that I'm participating in, to make that suggestion to the appropriate group.

1.3

2.4

I think we should all be able to offer comments on any matter that we think is relevant even if it's not within the domain of the group to which we're assigned.

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Delegate Silverman, let me start from the top of your statement:

You are correct that it doesn't state in the Rules about the appointment of informal groups. I think what is being discussed when I mentioned it, is a consensus, so we do, in comparison to the suggestion that 15 of we sit down here and go through about who is going to be in a group and whatever. And that is the reason why I ended my statement with a proposal to the group to look at the three groups in regards of the membership, the Articles that are being addressed, so that we can best utilize our skills and experiences, both personal and professional, in addressing and discussing the issues.

On the second of Delegate Silverman's statement,

I definitely agree that that should not prevent any

delegate member from looking at those Articles that he

1 may not be a member of that working group and offering 2 ideas to that working group to consider and to include 3 in their report that will be coming back out to the full 4 body. 5 So, I am in agreement, Delegate Silverman with 6 that. 7 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: In that case we're on the 8 same page. 9 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Thank you. But I am in 10 agreement with what you said. I just want to make sure 11 that I bring clarity to the first portion of your state-12 ment. 1.3 Delegate Hodge? 14 DELEGATE R. HODGE: Yes. I just want to --15 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: I'm sorry, Delegate Rudel 16 Hodge, Jr.? It have two "Hodge," and this is for the 17 stenographer. 18 DELEGATE BELARDO de O'NEAL: And a lot of 19 juniors. 20 DELEGATE R. HODGE: There's a lot of juniors 21 also. 22 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Too many. 23 DELEGATE R. HODGE: Legacy. 2.4 But, yes, I want to reiterate what Delegate 25 Silverman stated, that I think we should give all

delegates the opportunity to review the whole document. And then from there we could see which key pieces that actually we are concerned about, versus trying to split us up, you know, arbitrarily right now in any working groups.

1.3

2.4

It makes more sense as a group assignment, you know, homework, as per se, every delegate to go through the document, point out their issues, point out their concerns and then come back and collectively share that with each other so you could have a understanding of the core issues that this delegation needs to consider moving forward versus trying to arbitrarily assign 10 pages to x amount of delegates; 10 pages to one or more of the delegates.

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: The ah -- thank you, Delegate Rudel Hodge, Jr.

I don't know, I've been told that ah -- and this is not to be funny, facetious or disrespectful -- in high school I had an English teacher, Ms. Wendy Williams, and she was from England --

DELEGATE R. HODGE: Um-hm.

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: -- she said "I would if I could, but I can't, so I shan't."

And I say that to say -- to make this point:

That -- I can't remember the word that you used,

1 but the meaning of the word mean like throwing a line 2 out in the water in some haphazard manner --3 DELEGATE RICHARDSON: Haphazardly? 4 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Yeah -- some haphazard 5 manner we would be dealing with groups. And I don't 6 think that that was the understanding that I have. 7 And I think --DELEGATE ABRAMSON: Arbitrarily. 8 9 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Arbitrarily --10 DELEGATE RUSSELL: No, we're not going to do. 11 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: -- well, arbitrarily, 12 haphazard, that may be a distinct difference; right? 1.3 But "arbitrarily" is the word that actually generated my 14 concern. 15 I do not see in my mind that the review individu-16 ally of the document -- which a lot of people should of 17 read especially if they run for public office -- is 18 something that should be placed in front of the tasks 19 that were doing to assess so we could try to make some 20 determination of the committee. 21 People should have go out and read the documents. 22 DELEGATE R. HODGE: Correct. 23 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: And so I don't think that 2.4 that should be a prerequisite to the forming and the 25 discussion of a working group of some of the Articles.

```
1
               DELEGATE ABRAMSON: Pardon me, Wendy Williams was
 2
        Spanish.
 3
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Wendy Williams was Spanish?
               DELEGATE ABRAMSON: Yeah, Spanish.
 4
 5
               DELEGATE RUSSELL: You don't --
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Well, you know, John ain't
 6
 7
        talking 'bout you. Yes, that's that 12 years of --
 8
        that's from catechism.
 9
               [Laughter]
10
               DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Mr. President?
11
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Yes, I'm trying to clear,
12
        Delegate Silverman, to bring the meeting --
1.3
               DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Just one quick question?
14
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: You want a question, but I
15
        was saying something to you, so a least listen --
16
               DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Okay.
17
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: -- just a quick minute,
18
        because it was to you and for everyone: That we will
19
        try to ah -- please do not take your sign?
20
               DELEGATE RICHARDSON: We have one missing here.
21
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Because we -- or if you're
22
        going to walk to the meeting with it?
23
               DELEGATE ABRAMSON: She going to forget.
2.4
        it!
25
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: No, we have one that's been
```

1 gone. 2 I'm sorry, Silverman. I've been rudely interrupted by delegates here on the island of St. Croix with 3 some sidebar discussion. 4 5 [Interrupting noises] 6 I was simply saying, Delegate Silverman, after we 7 hear from you, we're going to try to wrap up the meeting 8 with a plan of moving forward on how we would success-9 fully achieve it. 10 DELEGATE BELARDO de O'NEAL: I have question. 11 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: But after Delegate 12 Silverman. 1.3 DELEGATE SILVERMAN: Thank you. 14 It was just a quick question: 15 As you know, I've spoken in favor of your pro-16 posal and how you've structured our review of the Fifth 17 Constitutional draft. My question is: 18 What did you have in mind in terms of timetable? 19 When did you want the initial review to be completed? 20 And when did you envision following that review that the 21 standing committee structures to be decided in a time 22 specific scopes and for review? 23 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Today is the 11th of

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Today is the 11th of
February, and we are scheduled for, based on the adopted
Rules, for the first Saturday in March, March 1st as our

2.4

25

1 next meeting. I would think that some time by mid-March 2 we should -- the group should come back with some sort 3 of report and progress. 4 Delegate Rudel Hodge, you're saying before mid-5 March? 6 DELEGATE R. HODGE: I think we should have our 7 review of the document, the document and our suggestions 8 by March 1st, so we can have a productive March 1st 9 meeting. 10 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: That was Delegate Rudel 11 Hodge, Jr. I'm glad he said it and not me. 12 So, I want him to -- so I won't be said that 1.3 "we're pushing," but I am -- I can work with that. 14 Delegate Ross? Whatever the group decides. 15 DELEGATE ROSS: I rise in support of Delegate 16 Hodge, Jr.'s position --17 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Good. 18 DELEGATE ROSS: -- March 1st. We only have nine 19 Saturdays between now and December or October. 20 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Yeah, well, and just, you 21 know, just for everyone's comprehension, for the lack of 22 a -- when I get tired I can't find all the nice English 23 words. 2.4 We keep saying the -- we-may-hold-a-session-25 on-the-first-Saturday-of-every-month-period.

[Slow dragging emphasis on spoken words]

And in there, it-does-not-say-that-we-cannot-hold-an-addi-tion-al-session-in-any-month.

1.3

2.4

[Slow dragging emphasis on spoken words]

DELEGATE RUSSELL: I don't think we need that.

PRESIDENT RICHARDS: No, I'm saying, if we determine we need one -- a meeting more than the one Saturday that we set aside, and we need another meeting, it doesn't have to be on a Saturday, it could be on a Tuesday, Sunday, Monday, Wednesday, Thursday, whichever, but the point I'm trying to make is that we are permitted by the Rules, since it's "may" it ain't "shall" to pick other days.

So, I -- and that wasn't just for the discussion now -- that was for the discussion that's going on all day that it seems that people are missing some of these facts in the language that are in there.

Having said that -- and you all correct me, if

I'm wrong -- it is my understanding -- and I'm coming to

you, Delegate Ross -- that the majority of us who are

still tuned in to today's meeting agree with Mr. -
Delegate Rudel A. Hodge, Jr. suggestion that whatever

we're working towards should be something that we looked

at and be prepared to address by the March 1st session,

which is the first Saturday.

1 We're all in agreement? 2 CHORUS OF DELEGATES: Yes. 3 DELEGATE CANEGATA: Aye aye. 4 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Good. DELEGATE R. HODGE: Are we going to discuss where 5 6 that March 1st session will be before we recess today? 7 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: When you say -- the Presi-8 dent, through the Executive Officers and the Executive 9 Committee, schedule the meeting. Are you saying that we 10 need to discuss where it's going to be held? 11 DELEGATE R. HODGE: Well --12 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Let me help you out? 1.3 then, my intention -- and I don't know which premonition 14 of thoughts that people may have been or may have had --15 we had the first meeting in St. Thomas; we came to St. 16 Croix, and then we -- my intention was to alternate the 17 meetings back and forth between the districts. 18 DELEGATE R. HODGE: Can we -- can I suggest that 19 we have the meeting on St. John on March 1st? 20 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: You could suggest that, but 21 whether or not it will be so is a whole different ball 22 game. 23 DELEGATE R. HODGE: Well, I'd just like it to be 2.4 on the record that's it's my suggestion March 1st for 25 St. John.

| 1 | DELEGATE ABRAMSON: Mr. President |
|----|---|
| 2 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Yeah? |
| 3 | |
| 4 | MOTION TO ADJOURN |
| 5 | DELEGATE ABRAMSON: I have a motion. I move |
| 6 | that we adjourn. |
| 7 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Yeah, I was getting |
| 8 | motion on the floor to adjourn. |
| 9 | DELEGATE CANEGATA: Second. |
| 10 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: It's seconded. |
| 11 | DELEGATE SILVERMAN: We need to move out of the |
| 12 | Committee of the Whole to have a motion. |
| 13 | DELEGATE ABRAMSON: Yes, we do. |
| 14 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Yeah, I know that. But I'm |
| 15 | just saying, I'm acknowledging that a motion was made; |
| 16 | one was second. I'm also acknowledging that we're still |
| 17 | in the Committee of the Whole. And what I was moving |
| 18 | from was the closure of the discussions of Items 2 and |
| 19 | 3. We've closed that discussion? |
| 20 | CHORUS OF DELEGATES: Yes. |
| 21 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Good. |
| 22 | DELEGATE BELARDO de O'NEAL: Mr. Chair, I had my |
| 23 | hands |
| 24 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: You have something to add to |
| 25 | |
| | |

1 DELEGATE BELARDO de O'NEAL: I had asked you for 2 a time, but you went all around and -- and --3 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Well, if you did, I surely 4 missed you. I'm sorry. 5 DELEGATE BELARDO de O'NEAL: I only had one 6 question. 7 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Delegate Belardo de O'Neal? 8 DELEGATE BELARDO de O'NEAL: You're talking about 9 forming three groups with five people each. And I'm a 10 little confused, because now we're saying that we're 11 going to meet on the 1st to discuss what the group have 12 come up with or the general members? That's my first confusion. 1.3 14 My next --15 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Can I stop you there and 16 then answer your question and then move on? 17 DELEGATE BELARDO de O'NEAL: No, let me finish 18 because --19 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Okay. Let me write it down. 20 I wrote it down. 21 DELEGATE BELARDO de O'NEAL: And then I am trying 22 to find out: 23 These three groups that you are forming, do each 2.4 member tells you well this is what I am interesting in 25 and I would like to serve on this committee or you would

1 assign us to any committee that you may think that we 2 should serve? 3 Those are my two concerns. 4 DELEGATE RICHARDSON: President Richards, may I 5 share a suggestion? 6 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Delegate Richardson? 7 DELEGATE RICHARDSON: Yes. 8 In regard to Delegate Belardo's response, with 9 respect to the fact that you appoint head of committees, 10 I think it should be up to the delegates to decide which 11 committees they would like to join. And that is the end 12 of my statement. PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Thank you. 1.3 14 The first part of your question was in regards to 15 16 DELEGATE BELARDO de O'NEAL: My 'lil confusion 17 with the three groups --18 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Good, the three groups. 19 DELEGATE BELARDO de O'NEAL: -- of five people 20 and who's going to meet on the 1st. 2.1 PRESIDENT RICHARDS: The last thing, I believe 22 that was missed is that the Executive Committee would 23 put the Articles into three groups, whether it's the 2.4 first three, 1-2-3 or Article 1, 2 and 3, or then go 4, 25 5 and 6 into separate groups, and then we will send out

```
1
        a proposal -- and I did say "proposal," in case anyone
 2
        -- to ask individuals what groups based on the Article
 3
        that they would like to serve on.
 4
               If one group exceeds five, and one group has less
 5
        than five, then we will make a decision of how best to
 6
        balance out the group to make sure they all have equal
 7
        participation.
 8
               DELEGATE BELARDO de O'NEAL: Okay, now I'm
 9
        understanding.
10
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: I mean that's -- is that we
11
        were saying earlier?
12
               DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yeah, I think that's it.
1.3
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: All right. The ah --
14
        Delegate Ross?
15
               DELEGATE ROSS: My position on the issue is:
16
        a delegate has an interest in a particular area, they
17
        should let you know --
18
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Um-hm.
               DELEGATE ROSS: -- and that you take it into
19
20
        consideration in making your assignments.
21
               At some point or the other --
               PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Somebody ain't going to get
22
23
        what they want.
2.4
               DELEGATE ROSS: -- you can't please everybody;
25
        okay?
```

| 1 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Good. |
|----|---|
| 2 | DELEGATE ROSS: And we can't hold up the process |
| 3 | to determine |
| 4 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Everybody want to say I want |
| 5 | there; I want to be there. |
| 6 | DELEGATE ROSS: Yeah, yeah. |
| 7 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: I will concur with Delegate |
| 8 | Ross. |
| 9 | DELEGATE ROSS: And my other position is my |
| 10 | understanding is that each committee is going to come |
| 11 | back with a report to the rest of us |
| 12 | DELEGATE RUSSELL: Yes. |
| 13 | DELEGATE ROSS: on their findings or notes on |
| 14 | their assignment. It doesn't preclude the rest of us |
| 15 | reacting to it |
| 16 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: From participating. |
| 17 | DELEGATE ROSS: and giving some input into the |
| 18 | process. |
| 19 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Exactly correct. And |
| 20 | DELEGATE ROSS: You could go from Group 1 to |
| 21 | Group 2 to Group 3 |
| 22 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: And they will have an |
| 23 | opportunity to do that |
| 24 | DELEGATE ROSS: and at the end of that we will |
| 25 | form the standing committees. |
| | |

| 1 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: on March 1st. |
|-----|---|
| 2 | DELEGATE RUSSELL: At the end of that, we will |
| 3 | form the standing committees. |
| 4 | PRESIDENT RICHARDS: Thank you. |
| 5 | We're going to rise from the Committee of the |
| 6 | Whole back to the session. And as we go back into |
| 7 | session, we will immediately adjourn the session until |
| 8 | our scheduled next full session of March 1st with these |
| 9 | correspondences to come out. |
| . 0 | We thank everyone for their presentation and |
| .1 | their participation, and we hereby adjourn. |
| .2 | Thereupon, the meeting adjourned at 3:05 p.m. |
| . 3 | |
| . 4 | * * * * * |
| . 5 | |
| . 6 | |
| .7 | |
| . 8 | |
| . 9 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

| 1 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | I, KATHLEEN D. DOWLING, Stenographer, Division |
| 4 | of St. Croix, do hereby certify that I reported, by |
| 5 | machine shorthand, stenographically, the proceedings had |
| 6 | and testimony given at this COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE OF |
| 7 | THE SIXTH CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION held on the 11th day |
| 8 | of February, 2025. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing 69 pages |
| 11 | constitute a true and accurate official transcript of |
| 12 | said proceedings. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my hand |
| 15 | this the $14th$ day of February, 2025. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | /s/ KATHLEEN D. DOWLING |
| 19 | Stenographer |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |